Motivationally neutral stimulation of the nucleus basalis induces specific behavioral memory
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Abstract

The cholinergic system has been implicated in learning and memory. The nucleus basalis (NB) provides acetylcholine (ACh) to the cerebral cortex. Pairing a tone with NB stimulation (NBstm) to alter cortical state induces both associative specific tuning plasticity in the primary auditory cortex (A1) and associative specific auditory behavioral memory. NB-induced memory has major features of natural memory that is induced by pairing a tone with motivational reinforcers, e.g., food or shock, suggesting that the cholinergic system may be a “final common pathway” whose activation promotes memory storage. Alternatively, NB stimulation might itself be motivationally significant, either rewarding or punishing. To investigate these alternatives, adult male rats (n = 7) first formed a specific NB-induced memory (CS = 8.0 kHz, 2.0 s paired with NBstm, ISI = 1.8 s, 200 trials), validated by post-training (24 h) frequency generalization gradients (1–15 kHz) of respiration interruption that were specific to the CS frequency. Thereafter, they received the same level of NBstm that had induced memory, while confined to one quadrant of an arena, and later tested for place-preference, i.e., avoidance or seeking of the quadrant of NBstm. This NBstm group exhibited neither preference for nor against the stimulated quadrant, compared to sham-operated subjects (n = 7). The findings indicate that specific associative memory can be induced by direct activation of the NB without detectable motivational effects of NB stimulation. These results are concordant with a memory-promoting role for the nucleus basalis that places it “downstream” of motivational systems, which activate it to initiate the storage of the current state of its cholinergic targets.
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1. Introduction

The cholinergic system has long been implicated in learning and memory. For example, pharmacological blockade of the cholinergic system impairs many forms of memory (Deutsch, 1971; Flood, Landry, & Jarvik, 1981; Power, Vazdarjanova, & McGaugh, 2003; Rudy, 1996). Cholinergic agonists and cholinesterase antagonists can facilitate memory (Introini-Collison & McGaugh, 1988; Stratton & Petrinvich, 1963), promote recovery of memory from brain damage (Russell, Escobar, Booth, & Bermudez-Rattoni, 1994) and achieve rescue from memory deficits in transgenic mice (Fisher, Brandeis, Chapman, Pittel, & Michaelson, 1998). Also, several non-cholinergic treatments that facilitate memory, such as adrenergic agents and stress hormones, affect memory via actions on the cholinergic system (Salinas, Introini-Collison, Dalmaz, & McGaugh, 1997).

The nucleus basalis of the basal forebrain (NB) is the major source of extrinsic acetylcholine (ACh) to the cerebral cortex (Bigl, Woolf, & Butcher, 1982; Johnston, McKinney, & Coyle, 1979; Luiten, Gaykema, Traber, & Spencer, 1987; Mesulam, Mufson, Wainer, & Levey, 1983; Rye, Wainer, Mesulam, Mufson, & Saper, 1984). Activation of the NB to release ACh in the cerebral cortex has a profound effect
on cortical state. It shifts the spectrum of the electroencephalogram (EEG) from higher voltage slower waves, indicative of drowsiness or sleep, to lower voltage fast waves (cortical “desynchronization” or “activation”) that are characteristic of an alert waking state and also rapid-eye-movement (REM) sleep. Both states are thought to promote information processing in the cerebral cortex. Cortical activation depends upon the release of ACh (Casamenti, Deflenu, Abbandoni, & Pepeu, 1986; Celesia & Jasper, 1966; Detari, Juhasz, & Kukorelli, 1983; Detari, Juhasz, & Kukorelli, 1984; Detari, Juhasz, & Kukorelli, 1987; Jimenez-Capdeville, Dykes, & Myasnikov, 1997; Juhasz, Detari, & Kukorelli, 1985; Kukorelli, Feuer, Juhasz, & Detari, 1986; Rasmusson, Clow, & Szerb, 1992; Rasmusson, Clow, & Szerb, 1994; Rasmusson, Szerb, & Jordan, 1996; Szymusiak & McGinty, 1986) that engages cortical muscarinic receptors (Phillis & York, 1968; Szerb, 1964).

In addition to its role in activating the cortex, the NB can induce cortical plasticity. For example, stimulation of the nucleus basalis (NBstm) paired with sensory stimulation produces enduring facilitation of responses in the somatosensory cortex (Tremblay, Warren, & Dykes, 1990), atropine-sensitive persistent modification of evoked responses (Metherate & Ashe, 1992, 1993) and facilitation of responses to tone (Edeline, Hars, Maho, & Hennevin, 1994; Edeline, Maho, Hars, & Hennevin, 1994) in the primary auditory cortex (A1). Pairing a tone with NBstm produces associative, frequency-specific shifts of neuronal tuning (Bakin & Weinberger, 1996; Bjordahl, Dimyan, & Weinberger, 1998, 1999; Ma & Suga, 2003) and enlargement of auditory cortical representation of the paired tone frequency (Kilgard & Merzenich, 1998a, 1998b; Kilgard, Pandya, Engineer, & Moucha, 2002). NB-induced associative receptive field tuning shifts are dependent upon the engagement of muscarinic receptors in the auditory cortex (Miasnikov, McLin, & Weinberger, 2001). The nucleus basalis not only induces specific cortical plasticity, but it also induces behavioral memory. We found previously that pairing a tone with NBstm produces conditioned stimulus (CS)-specific behavioral memory as indexed by training (i.e., pairing) with one frequency but later testing with many frequencies to obtain behavioral generalization gradients. (Preferential responses to the CS frequency would indicate that subjects learned about the specific frequency, while responses to most frequencies would indicate that learning was not specific to the CS frequency but rather learned about tones in general (Mackintosh, 1974; Mostofsky, 1965; Pavlov, 1927).

We found previously that pairing a tone with stimulation of the nucleus basalis induces memory that is both associative and contains detail about the absolute frequency of the conditioned stimulus. Rats that received extensive pairing of a single tone with NBstm (3000 trials over 15 days) later exhibited behavioral frequency response profiles (for both the interruption of ongoing respiration and changes in heart rate) in the absence of NBstm that were maximal at the CS frequency. In contrast, rats receiving unpaired stimulation failed to develop such behavioral CS-specificity (McLin, Miasnikov, & Weinberger, 2002a, 2003). Thereafter, we found that such extensive training is not necessary. Rather, specific associative memory can be induced rapidly, with a single training session of 200 trials (Miasnikov, Chen, & Weinberger, 2006). Additionally, the NB can control the amount of detail that is learned. Thus, pairing an 8.0 kHz tone with weak (~45 μA) stimulation of the NB that produces minimal EEG activation induces associative memory that is equal across the frequency spectrum (i.e., a “flat” generalization gradient). In contrast, moderate stimulation (~65 μA), that causes stronger EEG activation, induces associative auditory memory that is specific to the frequency band of the conditioned stimulus (Weinberger, Miasnikov, & Chen, 2006). Despite the extensive documentation of the involvement of the cholinergic system in learning and memory, and of the role of the nucleus basalis in modulating cortical state, mediating specific cortical plasticity and inducing behavioral memory, the actual role of the nucleus basalis is unknown. Two major functional explanations are readily apparent. First engagement of the NB/ACh system could itself serve as a positive or negative reinforcer, i.e., be rewarding or punishing. Second, the NB/ACh system could be “downstream” of motivational systems but be engaged by them (both positive and negative in valence) to promote the storage of the information currently being processed, perhaps throughout the cortex and at any other cholinergic targets. To investigate these two alternatives, we used a place-preference test in an arena divided into four quadrants (Bardo, Rowlett, & Harris, 1995; Hasenohr, Oitzl, & Huston, 1989; see also Panos, Rademacher, Renner, & Steinpreis, 1999; Sahraei et al., 2004). We first induced specific auditory memory in rats and later confined them to a quadrant of an arena while they received the same NBstm that had been used to induce memory. Subsequently they were allowed to move freely at which time we determined whether or not they exhibited a preference either for or against the stimulated location.

2. Materials and methods

Most materials and methods are identical to those previously reported, so they will be described only briefly. All procedures were performed in accordance with the University of California Irvine Animal Research Committee and the NIH Animal Welfare guidelines. During training and testing, subjects were continuously video monitored.

2.1. Subjects and surgery

The subjects were 14 adult male Sprague–Dawley rats (433 ± 69 g), housed individually with ad libitum food and water, on a 12/12 h light–dark cycle (lights on at 7:15 AM). Following several days of adaptation to the vivarium, they were handled and learned to sit calmly during attachment of a thermistor assembly and a cable to their skull pedestal. They were divided into two groups: NB-induction of memory (NB-Mem) and
Subjects were allowed 1–2 weeks to recover from surgery. Under general anesthesia (sodium pentobarbital, 35 mg/kg, i.p., initial dose supplemented as necessary to maintain areflexia), they were placed in a stereotaxic frame while body temperature was maintained. After clearing the calvaria, a dental acrylic pedestal was built containing two aluminum hex threaded standoffs. Two screws over the frontal sinus served as reference electrodes. For the NB-Mem group, a recording screw to monitor the electroencephalogram (EEG) was threaded into a Burr hole overlying the auditory cortex at a locus of short-latency, high-amplitude local field potentials (LFP) evoked by a burst of band-passed white noise (1–15 kHz) (Miasnikov et al., 2006). A concentric bipolar stainless-steel stimulating electrode was lowered through the contralateral hemisphere at a 45° angle to vertical in the frontal plane at AP −2.2, ML 3.2 (Paxinos & Watson, 1997) while stimulation was applied (100 Hz, 200–300 ms trains, 0.2 ms bipolar) until it reached the ipsilateral (right) NB; the final locus was determined physiologically by obtaining 1–5 s of consistent auditory cortical EEG activation. All leads were connected to a miniature socket that could be led to a commutator via a multicore conductor. Subjects were allowed 1–2 weeks to recover from surgery.

2.2. Induction of memory: Stimuli, recording and data analysis

In the NB-Mem group, training and testing for memory induction took place with each subject in a box (20 × 20 × 28.5 cm) containing fresh bedding and lined with acoustical tile, contained in a double-walled acoustic room (122 × 119 × 199 cm). Acoustic stimuli were calibrated pure tones (1.0–15.0 kHz, 2 s, cosine 10 ms rise/fall time, 70 dB SPL) delivered to a loudspeaker 44 cm above the floor of the box. NBstim current (66 ± 6 μA, 100 Hz, 0.2 ms bipolar, 200 ms train) was much lower than during surgery because anesthesia increases the threshold for NB-induced EEG activation. This “moderate” level of stimulation was below threshold for the elicitation of any behavior and was previously used to induce CS-specific associative memory (Weinberger et al., 2006).

As previously, we assessed the induction of behavioral memory by measuring disruption of ongoing respiration to the CS tone (8.0 kHz) and to other non-CS tones (1.0–15.0 kHz, see below). Respiration was detected by a glass-encapsulated thermistor attached to a lightweight ped-

stal-mounted assembly of custom design and fabrication, located 1–2 mm lateral to a naris (McLin et al., 2002a, 2003). The thermistor, sensitive to temperature fluctuations during breathing, served as one arm of a pre-balanced resistor bridge circuit. The output signal from the bridge was fed to a differential band-pass amplifier (1–100 Hz), digitized by two A–D modules, one for on-line calculation of the autocorrelation function (ACF) (100 samples/s), the other for off-line analysis (2000 samples/s). The ACF was used to present tones only when the subject was in a state of quiet waking as determined by regular respiration; the ACF criterion was 80% of a perfect sinusoid for a period of 4 s. Trials meeting this criterion were presented if the scheduled intertrial interval period had passed (30–180 s). This state control was employed to avoid giving stimuli when very high levels of ACh were being released in the cortex, as during exploration or REM sleep (Giovannini et al., 2001; Jasper & Tessier, 1971; Kametani & Kawamura, 1990; Marrosu et al., 1995) to prevent a ceiling effect, thus promoting a physiologically-effective release of ACh by NBstim.

Off-line analysis quantified the effect of tones on respiration. Analysis consisted of the calculation of Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) functions for a period of 2 s preceding a trial (Pre), 2 s during a CS tone (Dur) and 24 s after the tone (Post). Major changes in respiration occurred within 0.5–12.5 s after tone onset. The respiration signal was almost completely contained within the bandwidth of 0.975–2.925 Hz. The FFT data were used to calculate a “Respiration Change Index” (RCI), on a second-by-second basis. The index was sensitive to increases and decreases of both frequency and amplitude. RCI was calculated as: RCI = (|Posti − Pre|)/|Pre| + Pre). A value of zero would indicate no change and a value of 1.0 would indicate complete cessation of respiration (Fig. 1).

2.3. Induction of memory: Experimental design

To induce behavioral memory, we paired a tone with stimulation of the NB, and to determine the degree of frequency specificity, we obtained post-training behavioral frequency generalization gradients (McLin et al., 2002a; Miasnikov & Weinberger, 2003; Weinberger et al., 2006). Stimulus-specific memory would be revealed by a non-flat gradient with peaks at or near the CS frequency. As single tone conditioning was
employed, rather than two-tone discrimination training. Any increased responses were not expected to be confined to the CS frequency but rather to stimuli at and near the CS frequency (Mackintosh, 1974). Because change in respiration is a highly sensitive measure, we were also able to obtain pre-training (baseline) frequency response functions that could be used as the basis for comparison to post-training frequency responses.

The protocol was run over four days: Days 1 and 2, pre-training baseline behavior to test tones; Day 3, training which consisted of 200 trials of CS (8.0 kHz, 2 s) paired with co-terminating NBstm (0.2 s), interstimulus interval (ISI) = 1.8 s, intertrial interval (ITI) ~ 80 s (30–180 s); Day 4, post-training generalization testing. The first session (Day 1) was used to acclimatize subjects to the testing environment and thus data from this session were not analyzed. Contextual transfer between training and frequency testing sessions was reduced by delivering animals to the lab via different circuitous routes and training them in the dark (red light) but testing them (pre- and post-training) in the light. During pre- and post-training assessment of responses to tones, nine frequencies (1.00, 2.75, 4.50, 6.25, 8.00, 9.75, 11.50, 13.25 and 15.00 kHz, all 70 dB, mean interval = 94 s) were presented in pseudo-random order with the restriction of not more than two consecutive tones of the same frequency. Several days after completion of the place-preference test (below), subjects were returned to the training box where they received a single session of 200 presentations each of the CS tone and NBSTM. The current level of NBstm was identical to that which each subject had received during training and the place-preference test. Tones and NBstm were presented in random order at the same mean density as during training (average of two stimuli per 80 s), with the restrictions that no more than three of the same type could occur consecutively and that NBstm could not occur during a 15 s period either following or preceding presentation of the CS. The purpose of this assessment was to determine if NBstm had maintained its physiological effectiveness after its use in the training and place-preference phases of the experiment; it also allowed verification that tone conditioned EEG activation had developed during prior tone–NBstm pairing.

The physiological effectiveness of NBstm was determined by quantitative analysis of the EEG using Fast Fourier Transforms (FFTs) to determine the power in EEG bands both preceding (“pre”) and following (“post”) each presentation of stimulation, and then computing a Power Change Index (PCI): \( [(\text{Post} - \text{Pre})/\text{Post} + \text{Pre}] \), as previously documented (McLin et al., 2003). The major EEG changes during activation consist of a decrease of power in the alpha band (8.8–14.6 Hz) and an increased (McLin et al., 2003). The major EEG changes during activation for each subject. The Cont group was also connected to a cable and overhead commutator and received 200 trials of NBstm alone at random intertrial intervals (mean = 45 s, range = 30–60 s). The stimulation parameters were identical to those used for prior memory induction for each subject. The Cont group was also connected to a dummy plug in their pedestals and remained confined for the same period as the NB-Mem group.

2.4. Place-preference test

The apparatus consisted of a custom-made square transparent Lucite arena (60 × 60 × 40 cm) divided into equal quadrants demarcated by black tape affixed to the underside of the floor. The arena was enclosed in a non-transparent, brown cardboard box placed over a vibration attenuating Styrofoam plate on a table in a closed room. Subjects could be confined to a single quadrant by insertion of a cross-shaped Lucite 40 cm high transparent divider. Quadrants were visually distinct from each other by different black–white patterns covering their outside walls and floor. The arena quadrants were equally illuminated from above by two 15 W “soft white” lamps. Between individual sessions, the arena (and where appropriate the divider) was cleaned twice with 70% alcohol to remove olfactory cues. All sessions were video-recorded by an overhead camera. A pilot study of exploration in the open arena by nine naïve rats showed no bias in time spent (Kruskal–Wallis, Chi-square (3) = 5.17, \( p > 0.05 \)) or number of entries (Chi-square (3) = 2.21, \( p > 0.05 \)) for or against any quadrant.

The place-preference test was conducted at least three days after completion of NB-induction of memory for the NB-Mem group, and after a number of days following surgery for the Cont group that was approximately equal to the total time since surgery for the NB-Mem group. The protocol required three days: Day 1, pre-confinement preference test; Day 2, confinement (with NBstm for the NB-Mem group); Day 3, post-confinement test for quadrant preference. All sessions were conducted at the same time of day. The pre- and post-confinement sessions began with subjects placed on the center of the open arena facing away from the experimenter and lasted for 10 min beginning with the time that the animal moved into a quadrant. A rat was considered to enter (and occupy) a quadrant when all four of its paws were within that quadrant. The confinement quadrant was determined for each rat to be the second-least preferred quadrant, to avoid using the area in which the rat spent the most or the least time.

On Day 2, the barrier was inserted into the arena and the subjects placed in the appropriate quadrant. The NB-Mem animals were connected to a cable and overhead commutator and received 200 trials of NBstm alone at random intertrial intervals (mean = 45 s, range = 30–60 s). The stimulation parameters were identical to those used for prior memory induction for each subject. The Cont group was also connected to a dummy plug in their pedestals and remained confined for the same period as the NB-Mem group.

2.5. Histology

Following termination of the experiments, an electrolytic lesion (4 ms pulses at 100 Hz, 500 μA for 60 s) was made with bipolar current through the stimulating electrode of the NB-Mem group while the animal was under sodium pentobarbital anesthesia. It was then given an overdose of sodium pentobarbital and perfused through the heart with saline followed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.3). The brain was removed and coordinates of the recording electrode on the skull were measured from Bregma. Following several days of post-fixation in paraformaldehyde solution with 0.8 M sucrose added for subsequent cryoprotection, the brain was sectioned at 50 μm with a freezing microtome, sections mounted onto gelatin-coated slides, dried and stained for Nissl substance to recover the electrolytic lesion sites and determine the actual loci of stimulation. Auditory cortex recording sites, which had been determined by click-induced local field potentials, were verified by post-mortem precise measurement from the interior of the calvaria of the A–P and M–L distances from Bregma and midline, respectively, and plotted on a stereotaxic map of the auditory and surrounding areas of cortex derived from the Paxinos and Watson (1997) atlas.

3. Results

3.1. Effectiveness of NB stimulation

All of the stimulation sites were located within the basal forebrain in structures containing corticopetal cholinergic cells, including those that project to the ipsilateral auditory cortex (Bigl et al., 1982; Johnston et al., 1979; Luiten et al., 1987; Mesulam et al., 1983; Rye et al., 1984) (Fig. 2C).

To determine the outcome of NB activation on the induction of specific memory, it was necessary to provide a direct measure of its physiological effectiveness. Therefore, we analyzed the effects of NBSTM on the EEG spectrum for the 200 training trials. However, a pure measure of its effectiveness during training is not possible because NBSTM necessarily was preceded by the CS tone, and we have found that pairing a tone with NBSTM produces conditioned EEG activation (McLin et al., 2003). Therefore, changes in the EEG during training trials reflect both tone conditioned and NBSTM unconditioned effects. Examples of raw EEG (“All Bands”) and digitally band-passed alpha
and gamma activity are presented in Fig. 2A. The group data are shown in Fig. 2B. Note the marked activation of several seconds duration of the “All Bands” EEG, involving large decreased activity in the alpha band (8.8–14.6 Hz) and increased activity in the gamma band (33.0–59.0 Hz) (McLin, Miasnikov, & Weinberger, 2002b; Miasnikov et al., 2006; Rasmusson et al., 1992; Weinberger et al., 2006). It might be argued that EEG activation during tone–NBstm training sessions was caused by the CS tone rather than by NBstm. However, tone alone (intermixed randomly with NBstm) does not induce EEG activation (McLin et al., 2003). Thus, any tone-induced EEG activation observed in this study must have been caused by its pairing with NBstm. Thus, we conclude that NBstm during training trials was physiologically effective at the auditory cortex.

**Fig. 2.** Changes in the auditory cortical EEG during tone–NBstm pairing, and NB stimulation sites. (A) Example of changes in the EEG frequency bands that exhibited the largest changes in power. “All Bands”: original record obtained with band-pass filters set at 1–1000 Hz. “Alpha” and “Gamma”: corresponding records band-passed with digital filters set at 8.8–14.6 Hz to emphasize alpha and 33–59 Hz to emphasize gamma bands, respectively. This example is for a pairing trial (tone = 8.0 kHz, 70 db, 2 s, with 200 ms overlapping train, 100 Hz, 60 μA bipolar stimulation of the NB) in unanesthetized rat. Black bars show tone and NBstm. Note the EEG activation, including a distinct decrease in higher voltage, slower waves (“Alpha”) and increase in lower voltage faster waves (“Gamma”). During pairing, EEG activation reflects a combination of effects of the NBstm and its preceding tone. (B) Group mean EEG spectral changes relative to pre-tone-NBstm, computed as the EEG Power Change Index: EEG PCI = (Posti – Pre)/[Posti + Pre] where the “Pre” period was the mean of the first 2 s out of four immediately preceding tone onset and post-measures were calculated for consecutive periods of 1 s. Note major effects are an increase in gamma (closed triangles) and a decrease in alpha (closed circles) power. (C) Stimulating loci reconstructed for the NB-Mem animals. Sites of nucleus basalis stimulation projected onto outlines of frontal section at closest relevant sections anterior to posterior (AP) distance caudal from Bregma in millimeters (Paxinos and Watson, 1997). In all animals, stimulation was within the caudal nucleus basalis (ventrolateral internal capsule, ventromedial lateral globus pallidus and nucleus basalis of Meynert) which projects preferentially to the auditory cortex. The stimulation sites in 6 animals were found at AP –2.3, and in one animal—at AP –1.8 (insert). Abbreviations: B, basal nucleus of Meynert; CeM, amygdala central nucleus medial; CeL, amygdala central nucleus lateral; CPu, caudate–putamen; IC, internal capsule; IPAC, interstitial nucleus of posterior limb of anterior commissure; LGP, lateral globus pallidus; LH, lateral hypothalamus; SI, substantia innominata; SIB, substantia innominata, basal; SIV, substantia innominata, ventral.
As NBstm was next presented during the place-preference test (below), it was important to determine its effectiveness after this phase of the experiment, because a negative finding (i.e., no effect of NBstm on place-preference) might be attributable to a loss of its potency rather than to its lack of motivational effect. As noted in Section 2, the subjects also received 200 randomly presented CS tones and NBstm following place-preference testing. Examples of the EEG changes elicited by NBstm alone (“All Bands” and alpha and gamma activity) are presented in Fig. 3A and group data are presented in Fig. 3B. The same pattern of EEG activation was found as that observed during tone–NBstm pairing, i.e., increased gamma and decreased alpha activity with other frequency bands exhibiting lesser changes (compare with Fig. 2A and B). To determine the relative effectiveness of NBstm during pairing and after place-preference testing, we compared their respective “EEG Activation Indices” (Section 2). Fig. 3C presents the mean EAI values. Note their similarity, differing only in the earlier increase elicited by tone during pairing trials. The peak values for both the training period and the assessment after the place-preference test are similar. A statistical comparison of their EAIs revealed no significant differences between the ability of NBstm to activate the auditory cortex during training and after the place-preference test ($t_{(12)} = 1.95, p > 0.15$, 2-tailed). Therefore, the effectiveness of NBstm was not diminished after tone–NBstm pairing.

Tone also produced EEG activation. The rise-time of the EAI for tone-elicited activation after the place-preference test was faster than during training and their peak magnitudes were not significantly different ($t_{(12)} = 1.62, p > 0.10$) (Fig. 3C). This might be surprising because random tone and NBSTM constitute a potential extinction session. Together, these findings suggest that conditioned tone activation was robust.

### 3.2. NB-Induction of specific behavioral memory

Conditioning produced CS-specific changes in respiration. Fig. 4A shows an example of respiratory waveforms before and after conditioning at the CS frequency and at a lower and higher frequency. Following pairing, there was a strong response (interruption of respiration) at the CS frequency while there was little change at lower (2.75 kHz) and higher (15.00 kHz) frequencies.

Group data are presented in Fig. 4B. Baseline behavioral responses to various frequencies (i.e., the magnitude of disruption of respiration) were not significantly different from each other ($F_{(8,1391)} = 1.37, p > 0.05$); the smallest response was at 8.0 kHz, the tone later selected to be the CS. Pairing this frequency with NBstm produced a significant change in Post-training responses ($F_{(8,1391)} = 2.85, p < 0.004$). Post-hoc Tukey’s tests revealed the presence of significant increases in response at the CS frequency of 8.00 kHz compared to baseline ($t_{(300)} = 1.99, p < 0.05$, 2-tailed) and 11.50 kHz ($t_{(313)} = 2.36, p < 0.02$, 2-tailed).

Response to the frequency band closest to one octave that includes the CS frequency (6.25–11.50, 0.88 octave) was also significantly increased relative to baseline (Fig. 4B bracket: $t_{(1236)} = 3.42, p < 0.001$). Thus, we conclude that pairing a tone with NBstm induced specific associative memory.

### 3.3. Place-preference test

Fig. 5 illustrates the arena apparatus and summarizes the place-preference findings. The initial question was whether the arena was biased in some way. An ANOVA for the combined NB-Mem and Cont groups revealed no difference either in the time spent in different quadrants ($F_{(3,52)} = 0.44, p > 0.05$) or the number of visits to various quadrants ($F_{(3,52)} = 0.185, p > 0.05$) (Fig. 5B). Given an unbiased arena, we next asked whether the groups behaved differently during baseline. There were no significant differences between the NB-Mem and the Cont groups for the total time spent within all quadrants (557 ± 53 s and 588 ± 28 s, respectively; $t_{(12)} = 1.35, p > 0.05$) or the number of quadrants entered (56.0 ± 12.8 and 50.6 ± 12.1, respectively; $t_{(12)} = 0.82, p > 0.05$).

The next question was how to determine the quadrant for confinement (and NBstm in the NB-Mem group) during Day 2. An analysis of time spent and number of visits to each quadrant showed that rats had individual preferences. We therefore ranked the quadrant selection of each subject and found that the time spent in any quadrant was closely related to the number of visits to that quadrant, regardless of the degree of preference (Fig. 5C). There was no significant difference between groups either in the percent of time that they allocated to the respective rank-ordered quadrants (i.e., most-favored, second most-favored, second-least favored, least favored) ($F_{(1,48)} = 0.26, p > 0.05$) or the percentage of visits to the most-favored to least-favored quadrants ($F_{(1,48)} = 1.93, p > 0.05$). We selected the second-least preferred quadrant for confinement on Day 2. An analysis of baseline behavior for this quadrant revealed no difference between groups, either for time spent (NB-Mem = 101 ± 36 s; Cont = 95 ± 32 s; $t_{(12)} = 0.35, p > 0.05$) or number of entries (NB-Mem = 12.3 ± 5.3; Cont = 11.9 ± 5.3; $t_{(12)} = 1.77, p > 0.05$). Thus, the arena quadrants were not differentially biased, and while animals had idiosyncratic preferences, the groups exhibited neither differences in relative allocation of time from most to least-favored nor in their behavior with respect to the second-least favored quadrant in which they were to be confined on Day 2.

The behavior on Day 3 was used to seek differential place-preference between the groups, i.e., to determine whether NBstm in the NB-Mem group was either rewarding or aversive, based on time spent and entries into the NBstm quadrant, compared to the Cont group. The effects of confinement for the NB-Mem and Cont groups are summarized in Table 1, which provides both absolute and percent values for both time spent and number of visits. To
evaluate the results of the place-preference test, we performed a $2 \times 2$ ANOVA (doubly multivariate General Linear Model Repeated Measures design, SPSS v.15) on the absolute values, where the dependent variables represented measurements of more than one variable for the different levels of the within-subjects factors (i.e., “Time spent in selected quadrant” and “Number of visits to selected quadrants” as measured “Before” and “After” confinement with...

Fig. 3. Effectiveness of NBstm and tone alone on the auditory cortex after completion of the place-preference test, and comparison with EEG activation during tone–NBstm pairing. Subjects received 200 presentations each of tone and NBstm randomly. (A) Example of EEG activation by NBstm (200 ms, 100 Hz, 60 µA bipolar stimulation) observed for “All Bands”, “Alpha” and “Gamma” bands, as described in Fig. 2. As for EEG activation during tone–NBstm pairing, there is a shift from higher voltage, slower waves to lower voltage, faster waves (“All Bands”) with pronounced decrease in alpha activity and increase in gamma activity. Thick narrow bar indicates 200 ms period of NBstm. (B) Group mean spectral changes in EEG induced by NBstm alone. NB stimulation-induced spectral changes in the EEG relative to pre-stimulation period (first 2 s out of 4 s immediately preceding NBstm, computed as in Fig. 2. (C) Comparison of EEG activation during training and after place-preference test. The graph shows the mean “EEG Activation Index” (EAI) for tone + NBstm during pairing, NBstm alone and also tone alone after place-preference test. Note that there was no significant difference between the peak magnitudes of the EAI values ($p > 0.15$ for designated data points), indicating that NBstm potency had not diminished after induction of specific memory during training. During tone–NBstm pairing, both stimuli contribute to the magnitude of EEG activation. However, the contributions of the tone preceding presentation of the NBstm can be observed in the first two data points following tone onset. This probably reflects conditioned EEG activation (McLin et al., 2003). Tone alone after the place-preference test still elicits considerable EEG activation although obtained in the absence of pairing (i.e., potential extinction period). Its peak EAI is not significantly different from activation to tone during pairing with NBstm ($p > 0.10$), suggesting conditioned EEG activation is robust. (D) EEG spectral changes to tone alone after place-preference test. Although smaller in magnitude, note the similarity of the EEG effects of tone alone with the tone–NBstm pairing (Fig. 2B) and NBstm alone (Fig. 3B).
or without NBstm). The analyses showed that the “Group” factor (NBstm vs. Cont) was not significant ($F_{(2,11)} = 1.093$, $p = 0.369$), the “Time” factor (Day 1 vs. Day 3) was not significant ($F_{(2,11)} = 2.852$, $p = 0.101$), and the interaction (“Time–Group”) was not significant ($F_{(2,11)} = 1.702$, $p = 0.227$).
4. Discussion

4.1. Validity of the findings

The findings may be summarized as follows. First, pairing a tone with stimulation of the NB induces specific associative behavioral memory. Second, the same NBstm that induces memory within animals does not bias these subjects either for or against a place in an arena where they received such stimulation after training. One interpretation of these findings is that NBstm that is sufficient to induce specific memory is motivationally neutral, i.e., neither rewarding...
nor punishing. This interpretation supports the view that within the neural mechanics of natural memory formation, the NB is “downstream” of motivational systems. In this model (Weinberger & Bakin, 1998; Weinberger et al., 1990), motivational systems would activate the nucleus basalis to release acetylcholine in the cortex and elsewhere, which in turn would promote the storage of experiences that are currently represented as a distributed network throughout the brain.

The NB is not part of any known motivational system (Pennartz, 1995). Nevertheless, the present interpretation of motivational neutrality rests on the validity of the current findings. The first issue is whether or not the specific changes in behavior consequent to tone–NB pairing are truly associative, as a non-associative control group was not included. We did not include such a control group because prior studies using paired NBstm have been shown to produce associative memory. That is, non-associative control groups failed to develop specific behavioral memory, whether assessed by changes in respiration or heart rate, or whether employing 3000 trials over days or 200 trials in a single day (McLin et al., 2002a; Miasnikov et al., 2006; Weinberger et al., 2006). In fact, subjects receiving random tone and NBstm develop decreased responses to the CS frequency (Weinberger et al., 2006), which is characteristic of habituation (Condon & Weinberger, 1991; Thompson & Spencer, 1966). In summary, as the use of NBstm is known to require pairing to induce associative specific memory, and as the present findings show NB-induction of specific memory, it is reasonable to conclude that memory induced in this study is associative.

A second issue concerns the Cont group. Perhaps they might have been a better control had they received tone and NBstm randomly, before being tested for place-preference. However, we specifically chose not to do so because animals given unpaired stimulation are likely to learn that the tone is a signal that NBstm is not immediately forthcoming (Rescorla, 1967). Indeed, even for random tone and NBstm, animals have the opportunity to learn that presentation of the tone is a poor predictor of NBstm. Such “negative” learning is illustrated by decreased responses found in such groups, as noted above. Thus, while “negative” learning may be of interest, it would interfere with the interpretation of present findings. For example, had an unpaired group later spent more time in the NBstm quadrant than the NB-Mem group, then the latter group would have spent less time in that place and, by comparison, could be thought to have exhibited avoidance of their stimulation quadrant, indicating that NBstm was aversive. Thus, it was important to use a control group that itself had no opportunity to learn about NBstm. Rather, the Cont group served as a control for handling, surgery, the presence of a head pedestal and any restrictions of movement due to the connection to an overhead cable. Without these controls, the arena behavior of the NB-Mem group might have been attributable to one or more of these factors.

A third issue concerns the sensitivity of the place-preference test. Pilot data indicated that the arena was not biased and the lack of pre-confinement differences between the NB-Mem and Cont groups indicated that they were properly matched. Although place-preference tests have been successfully used widely (Bardo et al., 1995), the current study found no preference for the place of NBstm. This is a negative finding and, of course, it is impossible to “prove” a negative. Therefore, a caveat is that NBstm that is sufficient to induce memory appears to be neither rewarding nor punishing within the domain of the current assessment test. It is, of course, possible that some other behavioral test might reveal a positive or negative valence.

Finally, the lack of effect might reflect “saturation” of NBstm. That is, its use during tone–NBstm pairing might have rendered it ineffectual during the subsequent place-preference stimulation period. According to this account, although the NB was stimulated during the place-preference test, it could not manifest the motivational properties that it actually had possessed during previous tone–NBstm pairing. However, the physiological effectiveness of NBstm was not diminished after the place-preference test as the same NBstm produced the same amount of EEG activation (Fig. 3C). Therefore, the failure to obtain evidence of motivational significance actually indicates that the NBstm which induced behavioral memory is motivationally neutral.

4.2. Relation to previous findings

Although the induction of associative behavioral memory by properly-timed stimulation of the NB apparently has not yet been studied in other laboratories, the present failure to find a direct positive or negative motivational role for the NB is consistent with the results of several other lines of inquiry. For example, the NB exhibits tuning to pure tone frequencies, indicating that it minimally receives non-motivational signals (Chernyshev & Weinberger, 1998). Additionally, studies of learning and memory have reported that the NB rapidly develops associatively-induced discharges to auditory stimuli that serve as conditioned stimuli in fear conditioning, showing that it exhibits plasticity to stimuli that signal primary reinforcers (Maho, Hars, Edeline, & Hennevin, 1995). Also, direct tests of primary reinforcers fail to reveal responses in the NB. For example, neither positive (juice) nor negative (salt water) reinforcers elicit any responses within the NB of monkeys (Wilson & Rolls, 1990). Furthermore, while the NB does respond to visual or acoustic stimuli that signal reinforcers, it does not respond to the reinforcers themselves (Wilson & Ma, 2004). Overall, the findings support a signal function, rather than a motivational function, for the nucleus basalis.

4.3. Future directions

Although not a focus of this study, the EEG activation index of tone alone (at the end of the experiment) was as
large, and it rise-time was faster, than those of tone-elicited activation during tone–NBstm pairing (Fig. 3C). As tone-alone data were obtained during random presentation of NBstm, this constituted a potential extinction session, which would be expected to diminish tone conditioned activation. Thus, these incidental observations suggest that conditioned EEG activation induced by NBstm is very robust. Future studies should better characterize such conditioned effects, including the dynamics of their development during pairing, their relationship to the specificity of behavioral memory and their strength relative to EEG conditioning with natural unconditioned stimuli.

Properly-timed activation of the nucleus basalis appears to be sufficient to promote the storage of memory. The current findings are agnostic with respect to the roles of other neuromodulators or brain systems in the storage of memory. We assume that most of memory storage is distributed and that various regions and systems of the brain have particular, but still largely unidentified, functions. For example, they could be substrates for the various components of an experience, may it be the sensory, emotional or reflect other aspects of memory.

It would now be appropriate to investigate the involvement of other key neuromodulatory regions in the “direct” induction of behavioral memory. Thus, pairing a tone with stimulation of the locus coeruleus or the raphe would shed light on the extent to which norepinephrine and serotonin, respectively, can support the induction of specific associative behavioral memory, and whether such support includes control of the degree of encoded detail (Weinberger et al., 2006). Such experiments should greatly expand the understanding of how these major neuromodulators are involved in learning and memory.

On the other hand, stimulation of the VTA to release dopamine would be expected to induce memory because it taps into a motivational system (Schultz, 2001). Indeed, tone paired with VTA stimulation does induce specific plasticity in the auditory cortex (Bao, Chan, & Merzenich, 2001). There are no reports concerning whether tone paired with VTA stimulation can induce specific associative auditory memory, but this would also be expected if such stimulation is simply another way to pair a tone with a motivationally significant reinforcer. Thus, the fact of the induction of specific cortical plasticity, or perhaps more interestingly, the induction of specific memory, cannot itself reveal whether the role of such direct intervention into the brain is motivational support for mnemonic processes, or is itself likely to be downstream of reward/punishment systems and probably more directly linked to memory formation.

This brain stimulation approach provides an avenue of inquiry complementary to pharmacological studies while providing for mimicry of the natural action of each neuromodulatory system, by engagement of their critical cell bodies and release of their transmitters at normally-distributed synaptic targets (Rasmusson et al., 1994). Such studies will also need to be supplemented by pharmacological interventions to determine the extent to which memory induction by electrical stimulation requires the engagement of particular receptors or receptor sub-types in various regions. With regard to the current findings, it remains to be determined if the induction of specific associative behavioral memory by stimulation of the nucleus basalis depends upon cholinergic mechanisms. This is an essential step because activation of the NB, with presumptive release of ACh in the cerebral cortex and other regions, might induce memory through non-cholinergic mechanisms yet to be discovered.
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